

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 08/18/2005

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 10/672,439 09/26/2003 2003P08209US Rami Caspi 8507 EXAMINER 7590 08/18/2005 ISSING, GREGORY C Siemens Corporation Attn: Elsa Keller, Legal Administrator ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER Intellectual Property Department 170 Wood Avenue South 3662 Iselin, NJ 08830

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/672,439	CASPI ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Gregory C. Issing	3662	

Advisory Action	10/672,439	CASPI ET AL.		
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Gregory C. Issing	3662		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress	
THE REPLY FILED 05 August 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A		•		
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:				
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of				
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b)	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o	f the final rejection.		
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened states above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extensio final Office action: or (2)	n fee under 37 as set forth in (b)	
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in composition of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any ending a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be AMENDMENTS	xtension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal o	of the appeal.	
	but prior to the date of filing a bais	f		
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); 				
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or				
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1		jected claims.		
 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324). 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 				
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s).			•	
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:	⊠ will not be entered, or b) □ w vided below or appended.	ill be entered and an o	explanation of	
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-19.</u> Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:				
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	Abotom manaka da et ett	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	d sufficient reasons why the affida	vit or other evidence is	s necessary	
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attac	hed.	
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	t does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:	
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)	0 4	
Legouple Line				
	/	Gregory C. Issing Primary Examiner	. 1	
	/	Art Unit: 3662		

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The issue of availability rules based on position and speed over a predetermined period have not been previously addressed in the prosecution history. Additionally, in claim 1, there is a new 35 USC 112 rejection due to "said telecommunications device" in line 3 and the transmission of information when an "associated telecommunications device" (another?) is outside of a range.